Previous references.
Legis: file 160 for, Sl: 1 to 9,
A Dec: 1905.

1905-06:
(Legislation)

File No. 160—05
Serial Nos. 1 to 9

Later references.
dy 25 06 Sls 1-9
B. for March 06
Legis 1906 Sls 1-9
No Jan 08
Legis 160 11-12/1-9B

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

160

Serial Nos: 1 to 9

Part B. for January 1906

SUBJECT.

Reports under the Merchandise Marks Regulations, for 1902-05.

G. R. S. 58

G. R. S. 11] 1905.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Legislative)

NOTES.

File No. 10

FILE HEADING.

Reports under the Merchandise Marks Regulation for 1904-5

Fi

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore

No. S. 220 Dated 5/9/05 Received 5/9/05

Diary No. 9-585	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports treats on Examination of the articles coming under the operation of the Regulations - no frauds were detected and no prosecutions instituted during the year under report.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

July File No. 10 of 1905

Serial No.	
2.	From the D.C. Court Kadur, No. Cam.B.C. dt. 10.9.05 Reports the results of Examination of goods in his District
3.	From the Dy. Com.r Kolar, No: 2461/183 dt. 19.9.05 Reports that piece goods are the Chief among the imported goods coming under the operation of the Regulation & that the Asst. Commissioners who have held periodical Examinations of the goods report that there are no premises in the Distt. which can be brought under sec 10 of the Regn.
4.	From the D.C. Court of Chitaldroog. No. 354/S dated 9.05: Letter no 181/22.9.05 States that there are no factories in his Distt. coming under the Regn. & no mfrs. & that therefore no prosecutions were ordered during the year under report.
5.	From the D.C. Court Hassan No. 354/S dt. 28/9/05. Reports that the Asst. Supdt. of Police had been deputed specially for the work & submits a statement of the results of the Examinations held.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGOPB—50,000—17-2-05

Serial No.	
6	From the D/ Coml. Shimoga. No: 467 d/ 24.11.05 Reports that the produce of the Local looms does not deserve being brought under Sec. 10 as they are mostly intended for local Con. Samples are received of much length, and that as to piece goods imported and Cotton yarn, the former being subjected to Octroi duty were carefully examined & found correct and the latter was not made the subject of report by the officers. More often train is promised hereafter.
7.	From the D/ Coml. Shimoga. No: 495/375 d/ 11.11.05 Reports that the Local manufacturers are ignorant of the system of marking the descriptions & dimensions of their goods and furnishes a statement of the result of the Examination of the slips in his Dist. which shows varying differences in dimensions in some few cases.
8	From the D/ Coml. Mysore Dist. No: 523 d/ 14.11.05. Reports that the Amildars concerned have Examined the piece-goods imported

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

& have found no infringement of the rules, & that there are no wearing factories within Dist.

1) Submitted for orders

NS 21.11.05 SW 22/11/05

2) Draft Reports Submitted

ND 4/12/05

Vide pp. 5-6 & infra 3) Sub. G. the Deputy Secretary with a separate note. * BR 4.1.06

Regs 1906 4) Let me see the order of 6 Nov 1900 which prescribes such reports. 4/1/06

5) × G.O. no: 6305-12 Mis-1370 dt 6th Nov: 1900, Submitted.

× vide flag A

CRW 6.1.06

⊗ vide pp: 8 to 10, infra

ND 6/1/06 6) I have commented separately on Mr Dewar's memorandum note. A redraft is put up for approval 11-1-06

Please 11/1/06

7) Draft Submitted to the Secretary to the Commissioner / 1st Commissioner

1157 12.1.1906

12.1.1906

8) The draft is all right & be passed - I have checked the Rules

10777 13.1.06

required no change. BR

13-1-1906

13/1/06

MGCRB—20,000—1-11-04.

Memo

I wished that these reports should be dealt with by the Deputy Secretary who had taken part in the drafting of the Rules and of the first order No. J 1633-41 — Legn 16-9 dated the 21st December 1904, because I had doubts as to the scope of the inspection prescribed by the order, and the propriety of the action reported on in these reports I beg leave to submit my reasons for favor of consideration.

Reading sections 17 & 18 of the Regulation together, it seems to me that the selection and testing of samples, when not at the instance of a Court, should be conducted upon an information received or suspicion aroused that an offence has been committed

Rule XIV of the Rules is based on section 18 of the Regulation. The power of examining goods "at any time given therein" has, it seems to me, to be exercised when the Inspector has received information or has ground for suspicion as to the commission of an offence. I do not think the examination is intended to be made without such cause as

of making periodical examinations as a matter of ordinary duty with the view of seeking out breaches of the rules.

I would also beg to note that in the table under ii (a) of the Rules there is typographical error in referring to pieces of above 47 yds long, which may be corrected.

Yes, this will be corrected by an erratum in the Gazette

4.1.06.

I am sorry I do not quite agree with the views expressed by Mr Ramaswamy.

The rules which Govt are empowered by Section 17 of the Merchandise Marks Act to make, contemplate the testing by samples, not merely bales of goods in regard to which information has been received that offences have been committed, but even of all goods which purport to be quite regular in number, quantity & other particulars of trade descriptions.

The law ought to provide, surely, not only for the punishment of breaches when detected but also for their prevention

How can this be effectually done if our local Officers cannot even their little fingers before they receive intelligence that an offence has actually occurred?

I think it is an important part of the duty of Officers entrusted with the admn of the Law to see that the Regn & the rules thereunder are being properly carried out & this can only be done by the periodical examn of Books. The necessary power in this respect is given by Rule XIV. and I do not see why its provisions should be restricted in the manner suggested by Mr Ramanathanayagam.

~~I do~~ I quite agree that these examinations should not degenerate into vexatious or be allowed to cause needless annoyance or harassment, but provided they are kept in view by the Officers making the inspections, & there is no reason why

they should

The post deceased is however, one of some importance & is therefore subject to information & orders. The order prohibiting the deputation from upon HR

Secy) 11-1-06

I cordially agree with Hon'ble Chandrasekara Iyer in without the periodical examinations prescribed in Govt. Dairy Cases & fraud will go undiscovered

1/1/1906

File no: 10 of 05
Serial no: 1

11! Leg
Jun 5/9/05 — Memb J585

1905/06

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Jud & Rev Depts

Dated 5th September 1905

Despatched

Received.

General No.
G.O. No. 220

Enclosure No.

Govt: Order No: 6305-12
1370
of 6th November 1900

Reports on the working
of the Merchandise Marks
Regulation in the District
during 1904-1905

File No. 10 of 05

P. 220. D: 1 Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 5th September 05.

From

D. Devaraj Urs Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

B. K Venkata Varadacharlu Esq
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Sir

In accordance with the instructions issued in Government order No. 6305-12/1370 dated 6th November 1900, I have the honor to report as follows on the working of the Merchandise Marks Regulation in the District during the year 1904-05:—

The Divisional Assistant Commissioners and Amildars were authorized to Examine Goods

goods imported or manufac-
tured in their respective juris-
dictions for the purpose of as-
certaining that the requirements
of the Regulation have been
duly carried out All of them
have been unanimous in ex-
pressing their opinion that
the application of the provision
to the indigenous manufacture
of cotton fabrics
with hand looms would entail
great hardship on the Moderate
and poor weavers But the
Government have stated in
their order No. J 3482-92 of the
Legis 28-2.
31st May 93 that steps would be
taken to remedy such hardships
by duly amending the Regulation.
At the time of passing the
proposed amendment not
only fabrics of indigenous
manufacture will have to be
exempted from the operation of the

Regulation but also the fabrics manufactured out of Province with hand looms, such as Sarees and dhotes which are exclusively imported into the State will also have to be Considered, otherwise pro visions intended to be Enforced in the Case of wholesale manu-factures in factories will be applicable to articles prepared by hand looms.

Thus the articles that Came under the operation of the Re-gulation are those manufac-tured in factories outside and imported into the State or those manufactured in the factories of the State Several such articles were Examined both in the Shops of the City and in the mofussil. In all Cases they had proper trade, descriptions prominently applied, and the

5 ... III dw 643 9-05 Trl no: 10 Secno. 2 /656

1905-06

From (Legis) M. Chengaiah Chetty Esq B.A
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To B. K. Venkataramadasaiengar Esq
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Genl & Rev Depts

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } September 05.

Received

Camp
~~General~~ No. C
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Has the honor to submit
the accompanying report
for the official year 1904-05
on the action taken in this
District under Rule XIV of
the Rules framed under the
Mysore Merchandise Marks
Regulation No. 1 of 1892.

Offg Deputy Commissioner

WL

Report for the official year 1904-05 on the action taken in the Kadur District under Rule XIV of the Rules framed under the Mysore Merchandise Marks Regulation No I of 1892 & issued with the Government Proceedings No 7500. Legis 93 dated 29th December 1899.— The following table shews the results of the examinations conducted:-

Examination conducted by	Locality of Shops in which the goods were examined	Names of owners of Shops in which the goods were examined	Description of goods examined	Name of firm from whence the goods were imported	Result of examination
Amildar, Chikmagalur	Kalasapur Lakya	Trilokchand Natmal	Cotton check stamped 24 yards. Cotton Loose stamped 24 yards. Cotton Sada Stamped 6 yards.	Hodji Laitha, Market, Bombay- Sassoon S.P.G & W.G & Co Limited, Bombay. Bal Gangadhar Tilak, Bombay	Found 1/4 yard less. Found 1/4 yard more. Found correct.
Amildar, Mudigere.	Mudigere Town	Surjamal Dhaimiaji	Cotton Goods Sada - Rs 1551 Stamped 36 yds	Indian Mill Bombay	Found 1 yard & 6 inches less
			Sada - Rs 4542 - Stamped 37/37 1/2 yds.	V & R Graham & Co, Manchester	Found 8/26 inches less
			Long cloth - Rs 5005 Stamped 42 yards.	R. Warburton & Co, Manchester	Found 30 inches less
Amildar, Koppa.	Lower Koppa	Ganesh Mall	Cotton Goods Checks - N.E 565 Buckingham Mill		
		Aboul Rahim Sahab & Co	Stamped - Length - Breadth 24yds - 28 in	Messers Binny & Co, Madras	Length found 1 yard more
			" 24yds - 27 in	— Do —	— Do —
			" 24yds - 27 in	The Sassoon Spinning & Weaving Company Limited, Bombay	
			" 24yds - 28 in	Dharamsi, Batnsi, Bombay.	
			" 24yds - 26 in	The Tag Coy Mills, Bombay.	
			Imitation Flannel 40yds - 27 in	Messers Greadage & Company Manchester	

Woollen goods - Shawls	Woollen Mills Company, Cannanore
3yds - 54in	
Woollen Carded Shawls	
3yds - 54in	German made
Cotton goods	
Long Cloth	
37½ yds-40in	Messers H. Muhamad Bhadsha Sahib & Co. Madras
37½ yds-41in	Messers W.A. Bardsill & Co. Madras (Diamond mills)
37½ yds-40in	Messers Graham & Co. Bombay
(Raja mark)	
37½ yds-36in	— do — Rally Brothers, Manchester
37½ yds-42in (R.N. 9754)	— do — C.R. Durkorah — do —
20 yds - 54in	— do — Lyon Lord & Co — do —
Mulls	
18 yds-41in (N. 415)	— do — Finby Campbell & Co — do —
Shirting cloth	
40 yds - 32in	— do — Thomas Sharp Star & Co. Bombay
Bleached drill	
36 yds - 27in	— do — Vissonjee Purshotham & Co, Bombay
Long cloth	
40 yds - 34in (H.K. mark No 62)	— do — David & Anderson & Co, Manchester
40 yds - 34in (N. 5525 T & Co. 102126.)	— do — Walker & Co Madras

Found Correct

Anilcar Koppa			Mulls - 20yds-51in (Regd. No. 355)	Messers Kirkee Cotton, Manchester	Found Correct
			20 yds-47in (Regd. No. 1702)	—Do— J. A. Leigh, Preston	
			20 yds-42in (Regd. No. 6000)	—Do— Walker & Co, Madras	
Anilcar Jarekere	Jarekere Town	Adinarayappa	Cotton Mixture Silk check - 43½ yds	Ballapur Seetaramiah & Co, Madras	Found correct
			Cotton mixture Flannel, 2 pieces of 45½ yds each	—Do— —Do—	—Do—
			Cotton check 40½ yds	—Do— —Do—	—Do—
			A pair of cotton Lunchay - 8¾ yds	Messers W. A. Beardsell & Co, Madras	Found ¼ yard less
			Yellow colored muslin - 20 yds	Ballapur Seetaramiah & Co —Do—	Found Correct
		Motaji Devi Chand	Cotton bed sheet 5½ yds - 43in	Messers C. Macdonald & Co, Bombay	—Do—
			Velvet Alwan 39½ yards	Do —Do—	—Do—
			Yellow Alwan 39½ yards	Do —Do—	—Do—
			Unbleached Mull 37½ yds	Do Wilson & Co, Bombay	Found ¾ yard less

		Dholaji Jivan Malji	Cotton Loose 24 yds	Malji Sitha, Market Chowk Bombay	Found Correct
			Cotton check. Turad	Do — Do —	— Do —
			pattern - 24 yds		
			Longcloth - 42 yds	Do — Do —	— Do —
		Malliah	Cotton check - 24 yds	Messers Binny & Co, Bombay	Found ½ yard more
			Do - 24 yds	— Do — Do —	Found correct
		Kamauna	Cotton check - 24 yds (Soosi)	— Do — Do —	Found 1 yard more
			Cotton check - 35¼ yds (Striped)	— Do — Do —	Found 2¼ yard more
			Cotton check - 24 yds (Soosi)	— Do — Do —	Found 14 inches more
Deputy Amildar Sringeri	Sringeri Town	Prakaji Jattaji	Flannel - 31 yds (Made in Great Britain)	Lalah Bhanicaji Capoor Chand Bombay	Found correct
			Longcloth - 36 yds (Maruji Lalji Bombay)	— Do — — Do —	— Do —
			Mull - 22 yds (Sedill Sayboine) & Co, Bombay	— Do — — Do —	— Do —
			Mull - 36 yds (Thomas Ashton & Sons Manchester	— Do — — Do —	— Do —

Camp, Yerchalli
10th September 05

Asst Deputy Commissioner

File no: 10
1905. Serial no: 3

111

21-9-05

715

From,

K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esqre.
District Magistrate
Kolar District

To.

B.K. Venkatavaradengar Esq:
Secry: to the Govt: of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Gen: & Rev Departments.

Dated. 19th September 1905.

20

No. 2461
183.

Reporting on the working of the Rules under the Merchandise Marks Regulation during the year 1904-05.

6

Office of the District Magistrate -
Kolar District Dated 19 September 1905.

No. 2461
183.

Jdl 10-05
LC. 3

From:

K.P. Puttannachetty Esqure
District Magistrate
Kolar District.

To

B. K. Venkatavaradaiyengar Esqure
Secry: to the Govt: of His. Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore -
Gen: & Rev: Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 6305-12 / Mis: 1370 dated 6th November 1900, I have the honor to state that no cases or complaints under the Mysore Merchandise Marks Regulation and the rules framed thereunder were reported, during the year 1904-05. Piece-goods are the chief among the imported goods that can be subjected for examination under the said Regulation and rules and they are closely examined at all the Taluk Head Quarters with a view to levy Octroi duty on them being the only

only commodity on which duty is levied in all the Municipalities uniformly I have appended in the margin the duty collected on them in the several Municipalities in the District during the year 1904-05. The Assistant Commissioners and Amildars report that they have been periodically examining the goods bearing merchandise marks and found no frauds.

Kolar	Rs 2436-11-9
Bowringpet	1940-10-5
Chintamani ...	2812-9-2
Srinivaspur ...	137-10-1
Mulbagal	352-11-0
Siddaghatta	894-0-3
Chikballapur ...	1093-4-6
Bagepally	15-14-11
Gudibanda	43-11-
Gowribidnur	272-1-7
Malur	170-15-3.

2. Orders were issued to the Assistant Commissioners and Amildars in the District to report if there were any premises in their respective Divisions and Taluks fit to be brought under the operation of Section 10 of the Regulation.

3. From the reports received it is gathered that there are no premises in the District which can be brought under Section 10 of the Regulation as amended by Regulation V of 1905.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

W. Kelly Bennett
District Magistrate.

8/11

Rle no: 10
S. no. 4

1757

111
nun
24-905

190

Yogis 25-9-05

From
The District Magistrate
Chitaldrug District

To
The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts
Bangalore

Dated 22nd September 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 181
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Report on the working of
the Merchandise Marks Rgn
during 1904-05

8

No 181/Cur 1 Office of the District Magistrate

Fr. No 10
Sl. No 4. Chitaldrug District

Dated Chitaldrug 22nd September 1905

From

N. Ramanujayengar Esq

District Magistrate

Chitaldrug District

To

B. K. Venkatavaradayengar Esq

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

Sir

I have the honour to report that there were no factories in this District during the year 1904-05 where piece goods are manufactured and to which the Merchandise Marks Regulations and the rules framed thereunder could be applied. Consequently, there were no prosecutions ordered or instituted under the said Regulations

9

2. Copy of docket No. 157 dated

13th/15th August 1905. to the address of the Inspector

General of Police is attached for kind perusal

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

[signature]

District Magistrate

Chittaldrug District

1905.

From H Ramanujaiengar Esqr
District Magistrate
Chitaldrug District

To
F. E. C. Carr Esqr
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 13 August 1905
Despatched 15
Received

General No. 157
No.

Enclosure No.

His memo No. 21/C 2 dated the 24th June/2d July 1905 forwarding copy of Government Official memo No F 3654-62/28-4 of the 20th June last requesting that all cases under Section 10 (1) of the Mysore Merchandise Marks Regulation now pending or that may be instituted before the ... Regulation is brought into force may be reported to Government with full particulars &c

In

In reply, has the honor to state that no cases of the sort referred to in the above, have been filed nor are any pending in the District

(Sd) A R Ramamurthy
District Magistrate
Cuttack District

Copy

A R Ramamurthy

District Magistrate
Cuttack District

File No 10
Sl No 5

12 4793

1905-06

From P. R. D Wetherall Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To B. K Venkatavaradaraja
Esquire
Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of
Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Dated 27th September 1905

No 354/S

Sir,

I have the honor to
submit the following report
on the working of the Rules
under the Merchandise
Marks Regulation for the fis-
-cal year 1904-05.

2— Under provision of
Rule XIV of the Merchand-
-ise Marks Rules Mr
M. Gulam Mohideen
Khan, Assistant Super-
-intendent of Police, Hassan
District was deputed as
Special

Special Officer to examine goods imported into or manufactured in the Hassan District to ascertain that the requirements of the Regulation & the Rules framed thereunder are duly carried out And he was requested to submit a report at the end of the official year as to the action taken by him in the matter Moreover, the Vice President of the Hassan Municipality and the Divisional Assistant Commissioners were requested to cooperate with the Assistant Superintendents of Police in his special duty and also to furnish information as to the steps ~~to ascertain~~ to ascertain whether the provisions of the Regulations and the Rules have been enforced in the Taluks under their charge

3 — During the course of his tours in the District the Assistant Superintendent of Police inspected four important shops in detail namely, one in Hassan, another in Saklespur and two in Arsikere. On the 21st March 1905 the Assistant Superintendent of Police inspected the Shop of Ummaji Gyanaji at Hassan — One piece of Cotton Shirting with blue Squares manufactured by the Bommanjee Petit Mill Bombay was found on measurement to be of the correct length and width stamped thereon. The length was 24 yards and the width 27 inches. This was purchased according to the merchants

for Rupees 4/- and he was offering it for sale at a retail price of Rs 4-8-0

Another piece of cotton with check 2 was manufactured by the Sassoon & and Weaving Company Limited Bombay had been stamped as 24 yards long but width not mentioned but being found on measurement to be 26 inches — the length was found on measurement to be actually 24½ yards wg, half a yard longer — than the length stamped on it — This piece was stated to have been purchased for Rs 4-12- and was being offered for sale at the retail price of Rs 5-6-0 . —

Some chudders were next examined :- one check white chudder of 5 yards

length

lengths (in a pair) costing
~~Rs~~ 1-0-6 manufactured
by Manockchock and
Ahamed Abid Wahg Co
Limited Bombay/ —
another two and a half
yards single width red
squares + red and white
dots manufactured
by Bombayee Petit-mill,
Bombay and some of
green and different colours
which were also obtained
from Bombay/ — Some
Dhobies or Bunches
were also examined
Some of them had the
trade mark and had
something written on them
in Gujerati which could
not be made out as the
characters were blurred
These were obtained from
Bombay/

Bombay through brokers
but the company which
manufactured them could
not be ascertained A pair
of these Dhooties was stated
to measure 8 yards and on
measurement the lengths
was found to be correct
These have red borders, costing
Rs 1-11- a pair but offered
at a retail price of Rs 1-14-
The Assistant Superintendent
of Police also examined
some silk bordered pouches
of Salem as well those of
Conjeevaram without silk borders -
They had no lengths or breadths
marked on them - they -
measured 7 ½ cubits (Single)
the former costing at Rs 6/-
a pair and the latter from
Rs 1-14-

of Police also reports that he inspected a few shops in other Jalukh Head-Quarters and found no discrepancies, the marks, measurements &c being found correct

7.— The Divisional Assist-ant Commissioners report that they were not able to inspect any shops to ascertain whether the re-quirements of Regulation & the Rules were complied with. The fem--le Assistant Com-missioner promises to take active interest in the work during the current Official year. All the Divisional Assistant Commissioners have been requested to evince inter-est in this branch of work and

and submit a detailed report at the end of the current official year on the subject. ——

8— As regards the places of manufacture of firearms in this District, I beg to state that none of the places come within the purview of Sub section (1) of Section 10 of the Arms Merchants Marks Regulation I of 1892 as amended by Regulation No V of 1905, wherein only the wholesale manufacturers are contemplated and not the indigenous manufacturers of every description of cotton and woolen fabrics with hand-looms who are mostly poor and ignorant

Statement showing the number of shops or places for the sale or manufacture of goods falling under the Rules of Merchandise Marks Regulation in the Hassan District —

No	Taluks	Total number of Shops	Number of places where goods are manufactured	Number of shops examined	By whom examined	Date of Examination	Result of Examination	Remarks
1	Hassan	22		1	Mr. S. Gulam Mohiddin Khan Assistant Superintendent of Police, Hassan District	21.3.05	The trade description of these goods was found on examination and actual measurement to be not false in a material respect as defined in Rule II of the Merchandise Marks Rules. —	1/24
2	Manjarabad	29		1		29.4.05		
3	Belur	19						
4	Arkeré	34		2		20.5.05		
5	Bhemarayapatna	14						
6	Holénarapur	26						
7	Arkalgud	18						
8	Alur (Sub Taluk)	8						
		170		4				

Dated Hassan, 27th September 1905

compt of Bel

M. H. Wheeler

Deputy Commissioner.

Memo 60
Secy 6

111 Legn
Secy 25/10/05

1905-06 / J 968

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General & Rev. Debts.

Dated 23rd October 1905

Despatched 24th October 1905

Received

General No. 407

No.

Enclosure No.

Reporting on the action taken on the District under the Merchandise Marks Regulation during the year 1904-05.

Slip No 6 21

Deputy Commissioners office,
Tumkur District.
Dated, Tumkur, 25th October 1905

No 407.

From
L. Doraswamy Iyer Esqr.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To
B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maha Rajah of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Referring to Government Order No. 6305-12 Mis: 1370 dated 6th November 1900, I have the honor to report on the action taken in this District under Rule XIV of the Merchandise marks Regulation, published with Government Notification No: 7500 Legs: 93 dated 29 December 1899.

Nowhere in the District are there factories for manufacturing cotton piece goods on a large scale The Country hand looms manufacture Cloths which bear neither trade marks nor trade descriptions as defined in the Regulations These weavers are illiterate and ignorant of the laws and as such incapable

Files: 10

III Copy

12-11-05 Secy

1905-06 J 1113

From M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To B. K. Venkataramanayya Esq
Secretary to Government
General & Rev: Depts

Dated 11th November 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 495

No. 375

Enclosure No. 1

Forwards a statement showing the result of the examination of the various Shops which exist in this District for the manufacture or sale of goods under the rules framed under the Merchandise Marks Regulation during the year 1904-05.

No 495/375 Sl. No 7

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District.
Dated 11th November 1905

From,

M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To,

B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar Esq
Secretary to Government Bgnr
Genl and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a statement shewing the result of the examination of the various shops which exist in this District for the manufacture or sale of goods coming under the rules framed under the Merchandise Marks Regulation during the year 1904-05, I have the honour to state that the only kinds of goods manufactured in this District are rough cloths, towels sheetings &c which are produced from hand looms. These goods have no mark on them either to denote their dimensions or

the

the name of the manufacturer

2. Woollen and cotton fabrics of various kinds are imported into the District from Bombay and Madras and these goods bear generally the name of the manufacturer, their dimensions and number. Some of these goods bear only the number and figures denoting their dimensions. But even this is applicable only to the products of the mills for there are other kinds of cloth which are used by the natives as garments and these are produced from hand looms of an improved pattern and such cloths do not bear any descriptive mark on them

3. The local manufacturers are ignorant of the system of marking the description and dimensions of their goods and it is doubtful whether they appreciate the

the advantage of this whole some system

4. The Assistant Commissioner Savannah Division reports that the merchants whose shops he examined had none of them tables with inches, feet and yards marked on them and that it is doubtful whether the measures which were employed by them were perfectly accurate, he has been directed to test them with standard yard measures which have been supplied to Tabut Offices.

I have the honourable,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Thomas Rotton

Jan

					breadth 22 inches was shorter in length by 1 foot and in width by $\frac{3}{4}$ inches. " Sheeting marked 5½ yards long was shorter than that length by 3 inches. Two pairs = 5½ and 6 yds respectively were shorter by ——— do ——— one piece of mull marked 40 yds was ——— do ——— by 2 inches. " Kittasaram madi .... 6 yds — by 1 inch " Yarn of thread marked 100 yards long was found to be shorter by 4 yards.
		3	M. B. Krishnaraj Assistant Cashier	30. 8. 1905	One piece of Susi (grey) marked 40 yards was Shorter than that length by 2 inches. " pair of Kittasaram madi, white, marked 6 yards ——— do ——— ——— 60 ——— " " panche Stamped 6 yards long — 3 inches " do — 5½ yds ——— do " Sheeting — do — ——— do Other piece goods including woollen and Cotton goods such as checks, shots, Stripes &c. were found to be 4 inches Shorter than the length marked on them.

Shimoga
11th November 1905

Deputy Commissioner

Statement shewing the result of the examination of the shops in the District of Shimoga for the manufacture or sale of goods coming under the rules framed under the Merchandise Marks Regulation during the year 1904-05.

No	Taluk	No. of Shops		Name of examining officer.	Date of examination.	Result of examination.
		Total number of Shops	Number of Shops examined			
1	Chennagiri	10	7	A. Krishnarao Taluk Sheristadar	30.8.1905	The Amildar simply remarks that goods imported from Bombay and Madras bear trade-mark while goods of local manufacture are not distinguished by any such mark.
				W. H. Prathan Asst. Commissioner	21 February 1905	Lengths and widths stamped on the pieces differed in no case from the actual measurement by more than the amount of variation permitted by the rule. The merchants had none of their tables with inches, feet and yards marked and it is doubtful whether the measures which are employed by them were perfectly accurate
2	Honnali	Not given	Not given	— do —	March 1905	— Do — — Do — — Do —
3	Sorab	29 hand looms and 5 shops	5 Shops	M. S. Krishnappa Amildar	Not given	No variations were observed.
4	Sagar	21	4	Annappa, Shirastadar Sagar Taluk	30-7-905 and 31-7-905	One piece of check cloth stamped 24 yards exceeded the " " cumli cloth " 17½ yds by 4 inches " Panche stamped 5¾ " — do " " 6¾ " 4½ inches Piece of red cloth stamped 24 yds " 7 " — do " " Coarse cumli " 9 " — do " Sheeting stamped 6 yards long " 3 " " Panche " 7¾ " 3 "

Legislative No. 10
III Public Works
1905

1149

From H. H. Sparks Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To B. Kuppuswamy Esq
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore General Department

Dated 14/6

Despatched November 1905

Received

General No. 523
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits Annual report
on the Merchandise Marks
Regulation -

Sl: No 8 Deputy Commissioners office
Mysore district Centre
Begur Dated the 14th November
1905.

No- 523

To

B. K. Venkatavaradaa Iyengar Esquire
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Rev Departments
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government
Proceedings no 6305-12 Revs 1370
dated the 6 november 1900 directing
the submission of an Annual
Report on the action taken under
Rule XIV of the merchandise
marks Rules I have the honor
to state that in almost all the
Talukes, the Amildars concerned
have Examined the piece goods
imported and have found no
infringement of the Rules.

The definition of the Expression
piece goods having recently been
modified so as to restrict its
application to goods manufactured
in premises which are factories
within the meaning of the
Factories

Factories Regulation, the local hand-made articles are removed from the operation of section 10 (1) of the Regulation which is a great relief to the indigenous weavers. There are no weaving factories in this district. The delay in submitting the report is due to the non-receipt of the reports from the Amildars in time

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

H H Sparke
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

G.S.R.

33

G.R.S. 28]

Legislative
File No. — 10
Serial No. — 9

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Legis)

the 190

Date of issue 26 — 1 — 06

Signed by M. K. Lakshmanachar
Asst. Secy.

READ.—

~~The following letter from the Dept. Comm. of~~ ~~Bangalore~~ Districts forwarding reports on the working of the Merchandise Marks Regulation in their respective Districts during the year 1905-06

ORDER THEREON.—No.

D. ~~No.~~ No. S. 220 of the 5th Sept 1905 from the Dept. Comm. of Bangalore stating that the articles that came under the Regulation were examined ~~by the Divisional Officers~~ by Asst. Commrs with the shops of the town, and in the mofussil, and reported to correspond

over

13.1.06

10843
14.1.06

Legislative 17.1.1906

SUBJECT

with the description marked thereon
that there was no fraud ~~was detected~~ and
therefore no prosecution was launched
during the year; and that ~~Khaki~~ cloths
~~Serge~~ to be manufactured with
hand looms ~~and~~ out of the province
and imported ~~into the State~~ therewith
may be exempted from the operation
of the Merchandise Marks Regulation

2) ~~Letter~~ No. Cir. & C dated
the 5th Sept 1905, from the Deputy Comm^r
of Kadur forwarding a statement
showing the result of the examination
of the goods that came under
the Regulation during the year 1904-05

3) ~~Letter~~ No. 2401/1838 dated the 15th
Sept 1905, from the Deputy Comm^r of
Kolar, stating that no complaints
under the Merchandise Marks Regulation
were reported during the year 1904-05
that piece goods imported into the
District were closely examined
at the Police Head Quarters in
connection with the levy of Octroi
Duty: that the Asst. Commissioners

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

and Amuldars of his District ~~have~~ reported that they held periodical examination of the goods bearing merchandise Marks & found no fraud therein and that there are no factories in the Kolar District which can be brought under the Regulation in question.

4) Letter No. 181 & the 22nd Sept 1905 from the Depty Commr of Chitaldrug reporting that there were no factories in his District during the year 1904-05 which manufactured piece goods & to which the Regulation & rules could be applied & that no prosecutions were ordered ~~thereunder~~ thereunder.

5) Letter No. 354/S & the 27th Sept 1905 from the Depty Commr of Hassan, stating that the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mr Sulaiman Mohideen Marcair who was specially deputed to report on the working of the Regulation in the Hassan District inspected 4 important shops in detail, one in Hassan, one in Sakleshpur 2 in Arsikere & that his report shows that the piece goods imported therein for sale bore trade marks which corresponded with the actual measurements;

Asst Comrs of the Dept
that the ~~Divisional Officers~~ have been
asked to attend to this part of the work
& to submit reports at the end
of the current year, and that there
are no places of manufacture of
piece goods in the Sangor District which
can be brought under the Regulation
G. ~~letter~~ No. 407 dated the 23rd Oct 05,
from the Dep^y Commr of Sumbulpur,
stating that there are no factories
in the District manufacturing piece
goods on a large scale; that cloths
manufactured bear neither "trade
marks" nor "trade descriptions", that
the local weavers are illiterate
and ignorant of the rules, ~~provisions~~
provisions of the Regulation seem
to him to be unnecessary, at least
in regard to the goods manufactured
by them; that piece goods imported
into ~~the District~~ Sumbulpur were scrutinised
at the time of the levy of octroi;
that no infringement of the Regulation
or rules was ~~detected~~ observed; that the
~~goods~~ cloths to be manufactured by weavers
outside the State did not bear trade
marks also; that the reports of
the Asst Commrs are silent as to
the action, if any, taken by them under
the Regulation & that attention will
be paid in future to this part
of work.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

7) No. 455/375 dt the 11th November 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga, stating that the only goods manufactured in the District are cloths, ~~towels~~ Towels &c and these do not bear any trade mark, that woollen & Cotton fabrics imported into the District bear trade marks generally, that, as the local weavers are ignorant of the system of marking the description and dimensions of their goods, it is doubtful whether they will appreciate this wholesome system; that, as the Assistant Commr of ~~Hunsur~~ Hunsur, who inspected certain shops there, reported that none of them had tables with inches, feet and yards, he has been directed to test their measures with the standard yard measure.

8) No. 523 dt the 14th November 1905, from the Dep'y Commr of Mysore, stating that in almost all the talooks the Amildars concerned examined the imported piece goods and that no infringement of the Regulation and rules was found.

Order thereon.

Gde Memo

y2.0378-85 → 26-1-06

Sigs 10-06-q

Recorded

2. The attention of the Deputy Commissioner is invited to Regulation V of 1905, amending Section 10 of the Mysore Merchandise Marks Regulation. The effect of the amendment, as pointed out in the correspondence printed with Govt. order No. G 804-F-15/ dg 28 04-6 of the 13th September 1905, is to exempt goods manufactured in premises which are not factories, from the provisions of that Section

Pmt 11-1-06

12.1.'06 Secretary

2

The Deputy Commrs of the Districts

Copies 26/1/06.

Examined

26/1/06